

INFLUENZA TRANSMISSION

A. Modes of transmission

Despite the prevalence of influenza year after year, most information on the modes of influenza transmission from person to person is indirect and largely obtained through observations during outbreaks in healthcare facilities and other settings (e.g., cruise ships, airplanes, schools, and colleges); the amount of direct scientific information is very limited. However, the epidemiologic pattern observed is generally consistent with spread through close contact (i.e., exposure to large respiratory droplets, direct contact, or near-range exposure to aerosols). While some observational and animal studies support airborne transmission through small particle aerosols, there is little evidence of airborne transmission over long distances or prolonged periods of time (as is seen with *M. tuberculosis*). The relative contributions and clinical importance of the different modes of influenza transmission are currently unknown.

1. Droplet transmission

(www.cdc.gov/ncidod/hip/ISOLAT/std_prec_excerpt.htm)

Droplet transmission involves contact of the conjunctivae or the mucous membranes of the nose or mouth of a susceptible person with large-particle droplets containing microorganisms generated from a person who has a clinical disease or who is a carrier of the microorganism. Droplets are generated from the source person primarily during coughing, sneezing, or talking and during the performance of certain procedures such as suctioning and bronchoscopy. Transmission via large-particle droplets requires close contact between source and recipient persons, because droplets do not remain suspended in the air and generally travel only short distances (about 3 feet) through the air. Because droplets do not remain suspended in the air, special air handling and ventilation are not required to prevent droplet transmission. Based on epidemiologic patterns of disease transmission, large droplet transmission has been considered a major route of influenza transmission. However, data directly demonstrating large droplet transmission of influenza in human outbreaks is indirect and limited.

2. Contact transmission

(www.cdc.gov/ncidod/hip/ISOLAT/contact_prec_excerpt.htm)

Direct-contact transmission involves skin-to-skin contact and physical transfer of microorganisms to a susceptible host from an infected or colonized person, such as occurs when personnel turn patients, bathe patients, or perform other patient-care activities that require physical contact. Direct-contact transmission also can occur between two patients (e.g., by hand contact), with one serving as the source of infectious microorganisms and the other as a susceptible host. Indirect-contact transmission involves contact of a susceptible host with a contaminated intermediate object, usually inanimate, in the patient's environment. Contact transmission of influenza may occur through either direct skin-to-skin contact or through indirect contact with virus in the environment. Transmission via contaminated hands and fomites has been suggested as a contributing factor in some studies. However, there is insufficient data to determine the proportion of influenza transmission that is attributable to direct or indirect contact.

3. Airborne transmission

(www.cdc.gov/ncidod/hip/ISOLAT/airborne_prec_excerpt.htm)

Airborne transmission occurs by dissemination of either airborne droplet nuclei or small particles in the respirable size range containing the infectious agent. Microorganisms carried in this manner—such as *M. tuberculosis*—may be dispersed over long distances by air currents and may be inhaled by susceptible individuals who have not had face-to-face contact with (or been in the same room with) the infectious individual. Organisms transmitted in this manner must be capable of sustaining infectivity, despite desiccation and environmental variation that generally limit survival in the airborne state. Preventing the spread of agents that are transmitted by the airborne route requires the use of special air handling and ventilation systems (e.g., negative pressure rooms).

The relative contribution of airborne transmission to influenza outbreaks is uncertain. Evidence is limited and is principally derived from laboratory studies in animals and some observational studies of influenza outbreaks in humans, particularly on cruise ships and airplanes, where other mechanisms of transmission were also present. Additional information suggesting airborne transmission was reported in a Veterans Administration Hospital study that found lower rates of influenza in wards exposed to ultraviolet radiation (which inactivates influenza viruses) than in wards without UV radiation. Another study indicated that humidity can play a role in the infectivity of aerosolized influenza, although the influence of humidity on the formation of droplet nuclei was not evaluated.

Small-particle aerosols. There is no evidence that influenza transmission can occur across long distances (e.g., through ventilation systems) or through prolonged residence in air, as seen with airborne diseases such as tuberculosis. However, transmission may occur at shorter distances through inhalation of small-particle aerosols (droplet nuclei), particularly in shared air spaces with poor air circulation. An experimental study involving human volunteers found that illness could be induced with substantially lower virus titers when influenza virus was administered as a small droplet aerosol rather than as nasal droplets, suggesting that infection is most efficiently induced when virus is deposited in the lower rather than the upper respiratory tract. While this study supports the possibility of droplet nuclei transmission of influenza, the proportion of infections acquired through droplet nuclei—as compared with large droplet or contact spread—is unknown. It is likely that some aerosol-generating procedures (e.g., endotracheal intubation, suctioning, nebulizer treatment, bronchoscopy) could increase the potential for dissemination of droplet nuclei in the immediate vicinity of the patient. (Although transmission of SARS-CoV was reported in a Canadian hospital during an aerosol-generating procedure [intubation], it occurred in a situation involving environmental contamination with respiratory secretions.) Although this mode of transmission has not been evaluated for influenza, additional precautions for healthcare personnel who perform aerosol-generating procedures on influenza patients may be warranted.

B. Pathogenesis of influenza and implications for infection control

The cellular pathogenesis of human influenza indicates that infection principally takes place within the respiratory tract. While conjunctivitis is a common manifestation of systemic influenza infection, the ocular route of inoculation and infection has not been demonstrated for human influenza viruses. This may not be true with certain avian species of influenza (e.g., H7N7) that have been associated primarily with conjunctivitis in humans. This information suggests that preventing direct and indirect inoculation of the respiratory tract is of utmost importance for preventing person-to-person transmission when caring for infectious patients.

C. Control of transmission in healthcare facilities

Outbreaks of influenza have been prevented or controlled through a set of well established strategies that include vaccination of patients and healthcare personnel; early detection of influenza cases in a facility; use of antivirals to treat ill persons and, if recommended, as prophylaxis; isolation of infectious patients in private rooms or cohort units; use of appropriate barrier precautions during patient care, as recommended for Standard and Droplet Precautions (Box 1); and administrative measures, such as restricting visitors, educating patients and staff, and cohorting healthcare workers assigned to an outbreak unit. These are the primary infection control measures recommended in this plan. They will be updated, as necessary, based on the observed characteristics of the pandemic influenza virus.